



उच्च न्यायालय, छत्तीसगढ़, बिलासपुर

मामला क्रमांक

me 555/07

सन् 200

आदेश पत्रक (पूर्वानुबद्ध)

आदेश का दिनांक तथा आदेश क्रमांक	हस्ताक्षर सहित आदेश	कार्यालयीन मामलों में डिप्टी रजिस्ट्रार के अंतिम आदेश
	<u>M.C.C. No. 555 OF 2007</u> <u>[Single Bench; Hon'ble Mr. Justice Dharendra Mishra]</u> <u>APPELLANTS</u> 1. Bharat Kumar, S/o. Shri Bansilal Vishwakarma, aged about 39 years, Occupation: Driver, R/o. Sevtapara, Dongargah, Tah. & Dist. Rajnandgaon (Chhattisgarh) 2. Kalim Bhai, S/o Sekh Rahimuddin, aged about 38 years, R/o Bus Stand, Rajnandgaon <u>RESPONDNET</u> Applicants 1. Hari Das Baghel, S/o Shri Bisse Lal Baghel, aged about 45 years, Occupation-service, R/o Village Kohaka, P.O. Kandadi, Tah. Manpur, Dist. Rajnandgaon (C.G.) Non-Applicant No.3 (Driver) 2. Ramesh & Suresh Bhuarya, S/o Itwari Ram, aged about 32 years, Khushabu Transport, R/o Vill. Kodemarra, P.O. Dongargah, Tah. Ambagarh Chowki, Dist. Rajnadgaon (C.G.) Non-Applicant No.4 (Driver) 3. Pankaj Khandelwal, S/o. Madan Lal Khandelwal, aged about 28 years, Truck owner No.C.G.-07 ZC-3347, R/o Ambagarh Chowki, Dist. Rajnandgaon (C.G.) Non-Applicant No.5 4. National Insurance Co. Ltd., through, Branch Manager, Kailash Nagar, Rajnadgaon(C.G.) <u>14.12.2007</u> Mr. Anand Shukla, Advocate for the appellants. Heard on I.A. No.01/2007, an application for condonation of delay in filing this petition as the same has been preferred with a delay of 136 days.	



उच्च न्यायालय, छत्तीसगढ़, बिलासपुर

3

मामला क्रमांक MCC No- 555/07 सन् 200

14

आदेश पत्रक (पूर्वानुबद्ध)

आदेश का दिनांक तथा आदेश क्रमांक	हस्ताक्षर सहित आदेश -2-	कार्यालयीन मामलों में डिप्टी रजिस्ट्रार के अंतिम आदेश
	For the reasons indicated in the said application, which is also supported with the affidavit of the appellant, delay is condoned. Heard on application for restoration of Miscellaneous Appeal No.1400/2005. On 21.06.2007, the matter was listed on default and learned counsel for the appellants took a week's time to remove the default. However, the process fee for service of summon to respondent No-4 through usual mode was not paid and on this count, on the strength of the preemptory order, the appeal was dismissed. For the reasons indicated in this petition for restoration, the same is allowed and misc. appeal is restored to its original number.	Sd/- Dhirendra Mishra Judge